IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 14TH DECEMBER 2006 / 23RD AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 33277 of 2006(J)

PETITIONER:

SAUDATH P., W/O. S.L.P.SIDHIQUE,
AGED 35 YEARS, DARULSALAM, PADANNA,
KASARAGOD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.NIDHI BALACHANDRAN

RESPONDENTS:

----

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, KANNUR.

3. THE MANAGER, KARUNYA NIKETHAN SPECIAL SCHOOL, VILAYAMKODE, KANNUR DISTRICT.

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER (SHRI T.T. MUHAMMED)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C. NO. 33277 OF 2006 J

Dated this the 14th December, 2006

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is aggrieved by Ext.P1 Order. By Ext.P1, the second respondent, DEO has declined approval of appointment of the petitioner as Headmistress. According to petitioner, the matter is squarely covered by the decision of a Division Bench of this Court in Subramanian v. State of Kerala (2000 (3) KLT 351). I feel that the petitioner should be relegated to the pursuit of the alternate remedy available to her. Heard the learned Government Pleader also. If the petitioner files a revision before the first respondent Government within three weeks from today, the first respondent will consider and take a decision on the same in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner and any other affected party, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the Revision Petition. It is open to petitioner to rely on Exts.P8 Order and Ext.P9 Judgment in W.P.(C)

No.21194/06 besides Ext.P5 to P7 Orders also.

The Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.